Stefan Eriksson

Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, Boulder, Colorado



#### Stefan Eriksson

Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, Boulder, Colorado



#### Stefan Eriksson

Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, Boulder, Colorado



#### Stefan Eriksson

Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, Boulder, Colorado



#### Stefan Eriksson

Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, Boulder, Colorado



#### Stefan Eriksson

Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, Boulder, Colorado



#### Stefan Eriksson

Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, Boulder, Colorado



### Overview

- Current sheets: Magnetic field rotations [J=∇×B/μ<sub>0</sub>] from the very wide Heliospheric Current Sheet to "narrow" M'pause
- Multi-spacecraft missions: A brief introduction to tedrahedron formations and the Curlometer technique
- Near-Earth space regimes & boundaries
- The magnetic reconnection process at current sheets:

(a) Schematic overviews, 2D vs 3D & ion (di) scale

(b) "To be or not to be" frozen-in? The G.O.L. vs Hall ©

(c) Reconnection rate  $v_{in}/v_{out}$ 

• Observations of Reconnection Jets:

(a) Cluster in the solar wind vs "timing normal" concept(b) MMS at the magnetopause: J from "curlB" vs J=Ne(Vi-Ve)

Summary

### Heliospheric Current Sheet



Figure 7. Schematic of the solar wind magnetic field source surface. The photospheric magnetic field, routinely observed by ground-based magnetographs, is extrapolated upward using a magnetic potential to the "source surface" at which the field is required to become radial. The differing magnetic polarities along the photosphere associated with both low- and high-latitude fields are indicated. Only the largest-scale fields reach the source surface. Both positive and negative fields are shown. From *Schatten* [1972].

Smith, The heliospheric current sheet, J. Geophys. Res., 106, A8, 15,819-15,831, 2001

#### Heliospheric Current Sheet



Figure 5. Shape of the "ballerina skirt" model of the heliocentric current sheet defined by  $\cos \theta^* = \cos \theta$ . Topology at t = 0 and for  $\theta_{t0} = 5^\circ$  (a) and  $\theta_{t0} = 30^\circ$  (b).

Lhotka, C. & Y. Narita, Kinematic models of the interplanetary magnetic field, Annales Geophysicae, 37, 299–314, 2019

Ref	HCS min (km)	HCS max (km)	<hcs> (km)</hcs>
19 HCSs (1)	3500	12000	9100 (median)
212 HCSs (2)	-	-	64000 (average) ~10 R <sub>E</sub>

1. Winterhalter et al., The heliospheric plasma sheet, J. Geophys. Res., 99, A4, 6667-6680, 1994

2. Lepping et al, Large-scale properties and solar connection of the heliospheric current and plasma sheets: WIND observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 1996.

#### Earth's Magnetopause Current



©1994 Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc.

Principles of Heliophysics, V2.0

https://www.britannica.com/science/geomagnetic-field/The-magnetopause-current

## The Earth's magnetopause current layer is typically ~200-600 km thick.

[Le & Russell, The thickness and structure of high beta magnetopause current layer, Geophys. Res. Lett., 1994]

### Overview

- Current sheets: Magnetic field rotations [J=∇×B/μ<sub>0</sub>] from the very wide Heliospheric Current Sheet to "narrow" M'pause
- Multi-spacecraft missions: A brief introduction to tedrahedron formations and the Curlometer technique
- Near-Earth space regimes & boundaries
- The magnetic reconnection process at current sheets:
  (a) Schematic overviews, 2D vs 3D & ion (di) scale
  (b) "To be or not to be" frozen-in? The G.O.L. vs Hall ©
  (c) Reconnection rate v<sub>in</sub>/v<sub>out</sub>
- Observations of Reconnection Jets:

(a) Cluster in the solar wind vs "timing normal" concept(b) MMS at the magnetopause: J from "curlB" vs J=Ne(Vi-Ve)

Summary



Cluster: 4 s/c - first (ion-scale) tetrahedron formation mission (ESA/NASA) THEMIS: 5 s/c – no tetrahedron requirement (NASA) MMS: 4 s/c – first <u>electron-scale</u> tetrahedron mission (NASA) HelioSwarm: 9 s/c multi-scale turbulence mission (NASA: Launch~2028)

Source: WDC-SILSO, Royal Observatory of Belgium, Brussels https://www.sidc.be/silso/datafiles

### The four-spacecraft Cluster mission



Cluster II is an ESA space mission with NASA participation, to study the Earth's magnetosphere from a 4 x 19.6  $R_E$  elliptical orbit.

This mission is composed of four identical spacecraft flying for the very first time in a tetrahedron formation.

The Cluster II spacecraft launched in pairs in July and August 2000 from Baikonur, Kazakhstan.

First scientific measurements made on February 1, 2001. As of March 2023, its mission has been extended until September 2024.

**Fig. 3.** Position of the 11 instruments on the spacecraft. ASPOC (1), CIS (2), EDI (3), FGM (4), PEACE (5), RAPID (6), DWP (7), EFW (8), STAFF (9), WBD (10), WHISPER (11)

Escoubet et al, The Cluster mission, Annales Geophysicae (2001); Escoubet et al, Recent highlights from Cluster, the first 3-D magnetospheric mission, Ann. Geophys. (2015)

### What is a Tetrahedron?



Fig. 5 Regular tetrahedron illustrating V frame and internal angle  $\alpha$ .

Clemente, D. C., and E. M. Atkins, Optimization of a Tetrahedral Satellite Formation, JOURNAL OF SPACECRAFT AND ROCKETS, 42, 4, 2005, DOI:10.2514/1.9776

### What is a Tetrahedron?



Fig. 2 Location and width of active control region for an elliptical orbit.

Clemente, D. C., and E. M. Atkins, Optimization of a Tetrahedral Satellite Formation, JOURNAL OF SPACECRAFT AND ROCKETS, 42, 4, 2005, DOI:10.2514/1.9776

### Why fly a Tetrahedron mission?

There is a need to know the current density (**J**) in space due to its importance in many space plasma applications, such as magnetic reconnection.

The MMS FPI instrument measurements of electron velocity (Ve at 30 ms cadence) is very high-quality, such that a local (s/c) measurement can be obtained as  $J=n^*e^*(Vi-Ve)$  at the ion cadence (interpolation of Ve to Vi 150-ms cadence).

However, before MMS, those high-quality measurements were not available, and the need to estimate **J** from a tetrahedron formation, **<u>curlometer technique</u>** is/was crucial.

Tetrahedron allows the <u>gradients</u> of any vector/matrix to be evaluated. E.g., divergence of **B** ( $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ ) or electron pressure tensor ( $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{Pe}$ ).

### The Curlometer Technique

At low frequencies (much less than the plasma frequency) the electrical current density and the magnetic flux vector in a plasma are related by Ampere's law,

$$\mu_{0}\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{Curl} \mathbf{B} \tag{1}$$

For a cartesian coordinate system, for instance, taking the z component of the equation yields



$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{y}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{z}}$$
(2)

A typical configuration of the CLUSTER spacecraft is depicted in Figure 1. In general the tetradhedron formed will not be regular. Each spacecraft measures a time series of **B** at each vertex of the tetrahedron. The vector separation of spacecraft i and j is  $\Delta \mathbf{R}_{ij}$  and the vector field difference between the spacecraft is  $\Delta \mathbf{B}_{ij}$ . One can produce difference estimates for each component of J so long as a least three of the separation vectors are linearly independent as follows.

It is useful to have a procedure for current calculation that is coordinateindependent. The integral definition of the curl B, arising from Stokes' theorem, gives

Fig. 1: The CLUSTER spacecraft tetrahedron. theor

#### M. W. Dunlop et al., ANALYSIS OF MULTIPOINT MAGNETOMETER DATA, Adv. Space Res. Vol. 8, No. 9—10, 1988.

### The Curlometer Technique

 $\mu_0 \mathbf{J} \cdot (\Delta \mathbf{R} \mathbf{i} \times \Delta \mathbf{R} \mathbf{j}) = \Delta \mathbf{B} \mathbf{i} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{R} \mathbf{j} - \Delta \mathbf{B} \mathbf{j} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{R} \mathbf{i}$ 

where J represents the average current density in the s/c volume and  $\Delta Bi$  is the difference in B between s/c 1 (ref s/c) and s/c i=2,3,4.



 $\Delta \mathbf{R}$  is the vector separation of s/c 1 (ref s/c) and s/c i=2,3,4.

By cyclically taking i and j through values 2,3,4 one derives a set of three equations for three independent components of curl **B**.

M. W. Dunlop et al., ANALYSIS OF MULTIPOINT MAGNETOMETER DATA, Adv. Space Res. Vol. 8, No. 9—10, 1988. Dunlop, M. W., et al. (2021). Curlometer technique and applications. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 126, e2021JA029538. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029538

### Overview

- Current sheets: Magnetic field rotations [J=∇×B/μ<sub>0</sub>] from the very wide Heliospheric Current Sheet to "narrow" M'pause
- Multi-spacecraft missions: A brief introduction to tedrahedron formations and the Curlometer technique
- Near-Earth space regimes & boundaries
- The magnetic reconnection process at current sheets:
  (a) Schematic overviews, 2D vs 3D & ion (di) scale
  (b) "To be or not to be" frozen-in? The G.O.L. vs Hall ©
  (c) Reconnection rate v<sub>in</sub>/v<sub>out</sub>
- Observations of Reconnection Jets:

(a) Cluster in the solar wind vs "timing normal" concept(b) MMS at the magnetopause: J from "curlB" vs J=Ne(Vi-Ve)

Summary

# The four-spacecraft Cluster mission: Orbit configurations



2005-02-15 to 2005-03-15: 4.0 x 19.6  $\rm R_{E}$ 

### Near-Earth Space Plasma Boundaries

Magnetosphere (A): Hot and tenuous plasma in the Earth's geomagnetic field

#### Magnetopause (1):

Current density (**J**) boundary layer that resists (**J**x**B** force) the oncoming (shocked) solar wind plasma

#### Magnetosheath (B):

The region of turbulent and shocked ('slow') solar wind plasma

#### Bow shock (2):

Transition layer from super-Alfvenic ( $M_A$ >1) to sub-Alfvenic ( $M_A$ <1) plasma motion

#### Solar wind (C):

Solar origin B and M<sub>A</sub>>1 plasma streaming away from the Sun



### Near-Earth Space Plasma Boundaries

Magnetosphere (A): Hot and tenuous plasma in the Earth's geomagnetic field

#### Magnetopause (1):

Current density (**J**) boundary layer that resists (**J**x**B** force) the oncoming (shocked) solar wind plasma

#### Magnetosheath (B):

The region of turbulent and shocked ('slow') solar wind plasma

#### Bow shock (2):

Transition layer from super-Alfvenic ( $M_A$ >1) to sub-Alfvenic ( $M_A$ <1) plasma motion

#### Solar wind (C):

Solar origin B and  $M_A>1$  plasma streaming away from the Sun



### Overview

- Current sheets: Magnetic field rotations [J=∇×B/μ<sub>0</sub>] from the very wide Heliospheric Current Sheet to "narrow" M'pause
- Multi-spacecraft missions: A brief introduction to tedrahedron formations and the Curlometer technique
- Near-Earth space regimes & boundaries
- The magnetic reconnection process at current sheets:
  (a) Schematic overviews, 2D vs 3D & ion (di) scale
  (b) "To be or not to be" frozen-in? The G.O.L. vs Hall ③
  (c) Reconnection rate v<sub>in</sub>/v<sub>out</sub>
- Observations of Reconnection Jets:

(a) Cluster in the solar wind vs "timing normal" concept(b) MMS at the magnetopause: J from "curlB" vs J=Ne(Vi-Ve)

Summary

Ideal MHD: frozen-in field lines – "At its simplest, the *frozen-in-field-line theorem* states that if two fluid elements lie on a common field line at one time, then they lie on a common field line at all times past and future." [*Principles of Heliophysics*, p55]



Burch, J.L., & J.F. Drake (2009). Reconnecting Magnetic Fields, American Scientist, Sept-Oct., vol. 97, number 5, doi:10.1511/2009.80.392



 "Failure of the "field-line" concept ... is captured by the term *reconnection* ... this term can be used to refer to the changing connectivity in a vacuum potential field ... or ... the decoupling of particle motions from the background magnetic field ... we can say that reconnection occurs whenever the approximation of frozen-in flux fails."



 "Failure of the "field-line" concept ... is captured by the term reconnection ... this term can be used to refer to the changing connectivity in a vacuum potential field ... or ... the decoupling of particle motions from the background magnetic field ... we can say that reconnection occurs whenever the approximation of frozen-in flux fails."



"Failure of the "field-line" concept ... is captured by the term reconnection ... this term can be used to refer to the changing connectivity in a vacuum potential field ... or ... the decoupling of particle motions from the background magnetic field ... we can say that reconnection occurs whenever the flux fails."

Particles "frozen-in" with a magnetic field (B) gyrate about it. When B moves, then particles move along with it at the  $V = (E \times B)/B^2$  drift (yellow arrows). In this case, there must be an electric field  $E = -V \times B$ pointing out of the plane.



Burch, J.L., & J.F. Drake (2009). Reconnecting Magnetic Fields, American Scientist, Sept-Oct., vol. 97, number 5, doi:10.1511/2009.80.392 Gross, N. A., and W. J. Hughes (2015), A Decade of Questions About Magnetic Reconnection, Space Weather, 13, 606–610, doi:10.1002/2015SW001220



Hesse, M., & Cassak, P. A. (2020). Magnetic reconnection in the space sciences: Past, present, and future. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 125, e2018JA025935. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JA025935



**<u>Reconnection diffusion region</u>**<sup>\*</sup> is where the charged particles (i and e) are no longer "frozen-in" to **B**, or  $\mathbf{E} \neq -\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$  and the full particle motion is not a simple gyration about **B** 

\*It is really a *finite volume of space* – not an "X-point" or "X-line" per se.

Hesse, M., & Cassak, P. A. (2020). Magnetic reconnection in the space sciences: Past, present, and future. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 125, e2018JA025935. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JA025935



**<u>Reconnection jet regions</u>**: Newly reconnected fields are strongly bent. This magnetic tension force acts on the plasma (left & right) and results in two plasma jet regions.

Hesse, M., & Cassak, P. A. (2020). Magnetic reconnection in the space sciences: Past, present, and future. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 125, e2018JA025935. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JA025935



Two main approaches exist to simulate the time-evolution of a plasma due to the presence of magnetic and electric fields.

(1) Fluid methods (MHD) capture macroscopic system evolution. Inaccuracies develop at small scales such as magnetic reconnection problem.

(2) Kinetic methods (PIC) aims to describe the full motion of ions and electrons from Maxwell's equations and the Vlasov transport equation. Often computationally expensive to use 3-D in a "big box" with full mass ratio of proton to electrons  $m_p/m_e=1836$ .

Image provided by Prayash Pyakurel, SSL/UC Berkeley (P3D numerical code)



Here, **c** is speed of light and  $\omega_{pi}^2 = N_p^* e^2 / m_p^* \epsilon_0$  is the proton plasma frequency.

 $\omega_{pi}$  is a fundamental time-scale in plasma physics. It represents a typical electrostatic oscillation frequency of a plasma in response to a local and small charge separation.

A plasma is 'quasi-neutral' (N=N<sub>i</sub>~N<sub>e</sub>) such that a given small **charge displacement** x will generate an electric field  $E_x = -\rho/\epsilon_0$  for a charge density  $\rho = e^*N^*x$  that will act on the charged particles to return them to their original position.

*From Newton's law*:  $m^*d^2(x)/dt^2 = e^*E_x = -e^{2*}N^*x / \epsilon_0 = -m^*\omega_{pi}^2 x$ 

Image provided by Prayash Pyakurel, SSL/UC Berkeley (P3D numerical code)



e di scale is known as "ion skin depth" and "ion inertial len 1 di = c/ω<sub>pi</sub> where ω<sub>pi</sub><sup>2</sup> = N<sub>p</sub>\*e<sup>2</sup>/m<sub>p</sub>\*ε<sub>0</sub>



Image provided by Prayash Pyakurel, SSL/UC Berkeley (P3D numerical code)

### Overview

- Current sheets: Magnetic field rotations [J=∇×B/μ<sub>0</sub>] from the very wide Heliospheric Current Sheet to "narrow" M'pause
- Multi-spacecraft missions: A brief introduction to tedrahedron formations and the Curlometer technique
- Near-Earth space regimes & boundaries
- The magnetic reconnection process at current sheets:

(a) Schematic overviews, 2D vs 3D & ion (di) scale

(b) "To be or not to be" frozen-in? The G.O.L. vs Hall 😇

(c) Reconnection rate  $v_{in}/v_{out}$ 

• Observations of Reconnection Jets:

(a) Cluster in the solar wind vs "timing normal" concept(b) MMS at the magnetopause: J from "curlB" vs J=Ne(Vi-Ve)

Summary
#### Magnetic Reconnection – G.O.L.



Since E = -v x B (frozen-in condition) just outside the narrow current layer (both inflow regions), then there must be an electric field E of that magnitude also inside the localized diffusion region where E ≠ -v x B.

If **E** is <u>not</u> equal to -(**v** x **B**) there, then what supports this **E** locally?

We must explore the *particle motions and the forces acting on them* in this small current layer region where the in-plane **B** is weak (special case: |**B**|<<1).



where  $p_e = n_e k_B T_e$  and  $p_i = n_i k_B T_i$  are electron and ion *scalar* pressures for an assumed isotropic plasma temperature. In anisotropic plasmas (e.g.,  $Te_{||} > Te_{perp}$ )  $\nabla p \rightarrow \nabla \cdot P$  where  $P = P_{ij}$  is a 3x3 pressure tensor.



#### Generalized Ohm's Law:

Newton's  $2^{nd}$  law for a plasma with number density  $n_i=n_e=n$  (quasi-neutral):

(1)  $nm_e d\mathbf{v}_e/dt = -ne[\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_e \times \mathbf{B}] - \nabla p_e + nm_e \mathbf{g}$ (2)  $nm_i d\mathbf{v}_i/dt = ne[\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B}] - \nabla p_i + nm_i \mathbf{g}$ 

**Adding** (1) + (2) using mass density  $\rho = n(m_i + m_e)$ , momentum  $\rho \mathbf{v} = n(m_i \mathbf{v}_i + m_e \mathbf{v}_e)$ , and current density  $\mathbf{J} = ne(\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_e)$  results in:

```
Plasma Equation of Motion: \rho d\mathbf{v}/dt = \mathbf{J}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{B} - \nabla p + \rho \mathbf{g}
```



#### Generalized Ohm's Law:

Newton's  $2^{nd}$  law for a plasma with number density  $n_i=n_e=n$  (quasi-neutral):

(1)  $nm_e d\mathbf{v}_e/dt = -ne[\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_e \times \mathbf{B}] - \nabla p_e + nm_e \mathbf{g}$ (2)  $nm_i d\mathbf{v}_i/dt = ne[\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B}] - \nabla p_i + nm_i \mathbf{g}$ 

**Taking** (Eq2)\*m<sub>e</sub>/(m<sub>i</sub>ne) **minus** (Eq1)\*1/ne **with**  $v_i \sim v$  and  $v_e \sim (v - J/ne)$  from  $J = ne(v_i - v_e)$  [m<sub>e</sub><<m<sub>i</sub> limit] results in:

G.O.L.  $\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} / ne - [\nabla p_e - m_e \nabla p_i / m_i] / ne + m_e / ne^2 d\mathbf{J} / dt$ 

E.g. H. Alfven & C.-G. Fälthammar, Cosmical Electrodynamics, Oxford University Press, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 1963



G.O.L.  $\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} / n\mathbf{e} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_{e} / n\mathbf{e} + m_{e} / n\mathbf{e}^{2} d\mathbf{J} / dt$  [ $\mathbf{P}_{e}$ : electron pressure tensor]

**E'** = "Hall" – "electron pressure divergence" + "electron inertia" terms

In kinetic treatments of plasmas (non-MHD), the presence of a <u>non-ideal electric field</u>  $\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \neq 0$  in the frame of the moving particles ( $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}_i$  or  $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}_e$ ) will contribute to this violation of the "frozen-in" condition.



G.O.L.  $\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} / n\mathbf{e} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_{e} / n\mathbf{e} + m_{e} / n\mathbf{e}^{2} d\mathbf{J} / dt$  [ $\mathbf{P}_{e}$ : electron pressure tensor]

**E'** = "Hall" – "electron pressure divergence" + "electron inertia" terms

### The Objective of the MMS s/c constellation -> Explore the RHS terms of G.O.L. where electron scales are crucial to measure. High measurement cadence a requirement.

#### Magnetic Reconnection – G.O.L. terms

#### Symmetric PIC simulation

 $\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} / ne - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_e / ne + m_e / ne^2 d\mathbf{J} / dt$ 



Yi-Hsin Liu, Paul Cassak, Xiaocan Li et al., First-principles theory of the rate of magnetic reconnection in magnetospheric and solar plasmas Nature Communications, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-022-00854-x

#### Magnetic Reconnection – G.O.L. terms

#### Symmetric PIC simulation

 $\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} / ne - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_{e} / ne + m_{e} / ne^{2} d\mathbf{J} / dt$ 



Yi-Hsin Liu, Paul Cassak, Xiaocan Li et al., First-principles theory of the rate of magnetic reconnection in magnetospheric and solar plasmas Nature Communications, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-022-00854-x

#### Magnetic Reconnection – 3 Regions

Symmetric PIC simulation

 $\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} / ne - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_e / ne + m_e / ne^2 d\mathbf{J} / dt$ 



Yi-Hsin Liu, Paul Cassak, Xiaocan Li et al., First-principles theory of the rate of magnetic reconnection in magnetospheric and solar plasmas Nature Communications, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-022-00854-x

#### Magnetic Reconnection – Hall E

Symmetric PIC simulation



Yi-Hsin Liu, Paul Cassak, Xiaocan Li et al., First-principles theory of the rate of magnetic reconnection in magnetospheric and solar plasmas Nature Communications, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-022-00854-x

#### Magnetic Reconnection – Hall B

Symmetric PIC simulation



Yi-Hsin Liu, Paul Cassak, Xiaocan Li et al., First-principles theory of the rate of magnetic reconnection in magnetospheric and solar plasmas Nature Communications, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-022-00854-x

### Magnetic Reconnection – Hall E & B

Symmetric PIC simulation



Yi-Hsin Liu, Paul Cassak, Xiaocan Li et al., First-principles theory of the rate of magnetic reconnection in magnetospheric and solar plasmas Nature Communications, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-022-00854-x

### Magnetic Reconnection – Hall E & B

Symmetric PIC simulation



Yi-Hsin Liu, Paul Cassak, Xiaocan Li et al., First-principles theory of the rate of magnetic reconnection in magnetospheric and solar plasmas Nature Communications, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-022-00854-x

### Magnetic Reconnection – Hall E & B

Asymmetric PIC simulation (dayside magnetopause) & [x, y, z] <-> [L, M, N]





An asymmetric current layer is characterized by different density (N) and magnetic field strength (B) on the two sides.

Results in a Hall  $E_N$  (c) localized toward the high-B and low-N ("earthward") side.

The Hall  $B_M$  (e) is dominated by the lobe-pair toward the low-B and high-N ("magnetosheath") side.

Swisdak, M., Drake, J. F., Price, L., Burch, J. L., Cassak, P. A., & Phan, T.-D. (2018). Localized and intense energy conversion in the diffusion region of asymmetric magnetic reconnection. Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 5260–5267. https://doi.org/10.1029/2017GL076862

## Magnetic Reconnection – Hall B Summary

Symmetric two-fluid simulation



**Figure 5.** Schematic of two-fluid reconnection. lons decouple from electrons in the ion diffusion region (grey colour). Electrons are frozen to the field lines until they reach the electron diffusion region (orange colour). The electron flow pattern creates a quadrupole out-of-plane magnetic field, a signature of the Hall effect.

Zweibel EG, Yamada M. (2016) Perspectives on magnetic reconnection. Proc. R. Soc. A 472: 20160479. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2016.0479

## Overview

- Current sheets: Magnetic field rotations [J=∇×B/μ<sub>0</sub>] from the very wide Heliospheric Current Sheet to "narrow" M'pause
- Multi-spacecraft missions: A brief introduction to tedrahedron formations and the Curlometer technique
- Near-Earth space regimes & boundaries
- The magnetic reconnection process at current sheets:

(a) Schematic overviews, 2D vs 3D & ion (di) scale
(b) "To be or not to be" frozen-in? The G.O.L. vs Hall ©

#### (c) Reconnection rate $v_{in}/v_{out}$

• Observations of Reconnection Jets:

(a) Cluster in the solar wind vs "timing normal" concept(b) MMS at the magnetopause: J from "curlB" vs J=Ne(Vi-Ve)

Summary

#### Magnetic Reconnection Rate

How fast can a magnetic field  $B_i$  enter a diffusion region (DR) at an external inflow speed  $v_i$ ? In other words, what is the "reconnection rate"  $v_{in}/v_{out}$  ratio?

 $E = v_i B_i$  (just outside DR) and  $E = J / \sigma$  (Ohm's law inside DR) ->  $v_i B_i = J / \sigma$ Using Ampere's Law (inside DR)  $J = B_i / (\mu_0 I)$  ->  $v_i I = 1 / (\sigma \mu_0)$  (1)

**Mass conservation** implies that <u>inflow rate</u> (up & down) equals <u>outflow rate</u> (left & right):  $\rho v_i * 2L*2 = \rho v_0 * 2I*2$  or  $v_0 I = v_i L$  or  $I = (v_i L)/v_0$  which combined with (1)  $-> v_i^2 = v_0 / L (\sigma \mu_0)$  and using the Magnetic Reynolds number of the inflow plasma  $R_m \equiv v_{Ai} L (\sigma \mu_0) -> v_i^2 = v_0 v_{Ai} / R_m$  where  $v_{Ai}$  is the Alfvén speed of the inflow plasma.



### Magnetic Reconnection Rate

How fast can a magnetic field  $B_i$  enter a diffusion region (DR) at an external inflow speed  $v_i$ ? In other words, what is the "reconnection rate"  $v_{in}/v_{out}$  ratio?

 $v_i^2 = v_0 v_{Ai} / R_m$  (1) ... but what is the outflow speed  $v_0$ ?

**Plasma Equation of Outflow Motion**:  $\rho dv/dt = JxB$  where  $dv/dt = \partial v/\partial t + (v \cdot \nabla)v$ 

- ->  $\rho v_0^2 / L = JB_0$  (steady state) and using Ampere's law  $J = B_i / (\mu_0 I)$
- ->  $\rho v_0^2 / L = B_0 B_i / (\mu_0 I)$
- ->  $v_0^2 = (L/\rho) * B_0 B_i / (\mu_0 I) = (L / I) * B_0 B_i / (\rho\mu_0)$ Now  $(L / I) = (v_0/v_i)$  from mass conservation and  $(v_0/v_i) = (B_i/B_0)$  from magnetic

flux conservation and  $v_0^2 = B_0 B_i / (\rho \mu_0) * (B_i / B_0) \rightarrow v_0 = B_i / SQRT(\mu_0 \rho) = v_{Ai}$  (2)



The original Sweet-Parker reconnection rate from (1) and (2):

> $v_i = v_{Ai} / SQRT(R_m)$ or  $v_i / v_{Ai} \sim 1 / SQRT(R_m)$

#### Magnetic Reconnection Rate

The original Sweet-Parker reconnection rate  $v_{in}/v_{out} = v_i/v_{Ai} \sim 1/SQRT(R_m)$  is **too slow** if the *dimension L along the current sheet* is **long compared with thickness**  $\delta$  and this theory alone failed to explain reconnection associated with solar flares.

The famous "GEM reconnection challenge" set of papers in 2001, with an introduction by *Birn, J. et al.*, Geospace Environmental Modeling (GEM) Magnetic Reconnection Challenge (2001), <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JA900449</u>, were instrumental in validating the importance of the **JxB Hall term** of the G.O.L. to obtain fast v<sub>i</sub>/v<sub>A</sub> ~ 0.1 rates.



BIRN ET AL.: GEM RECONNECTION CHALLENGE

Figure 1. The reconnected magnetic flux versus time from a variety of simulation models: full particle, hybrid, Hall MHD, and MHD (for resistivity  $\eta = 0.005$ ).

## Overview

- Current sheets: Magnetic field rotations [J=∇×B/μ<sub>0</sub>] from the very wide Heliospheric Current Sheet to "narrow" M'pause
- Multi-spacecraft missions: A brief introduction to tedrahedron formations and the Curlometer technique
- Near-Earth space regimes & boundaries
- The magnetic reconnection process at current sheets:
   (a) Schematic overviews, 2D vs 3D & ion (di) scale
   (b) "To be or not to be" frozen-in? The G.O.L. vs Hall ©
   (c) Reconnection rate v<sub>in</sub>/v<sub>out</sub>
- Observations of Reconnection Jets:

(a) Cluster in the solar wind vs "timing normal" concept
(b) MMS at the magnetopause: J from "curlB" vs J=Ne(Vi-Ve)

Summary

## Magnetic Reconnection - Observations

Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations



## How do we find current sheets associated with reconnection jets in space?

(1) Find the "current sheet" – rotation of B.
"Easier" in an optimum "LMN" system (c.f. simulation coordinates). Rotate from a measured frame (e.g. GSE, GSM, s/c frame etc) into one defined as: L = maximum change in B,
N = normal to a current layer (various methods) and M = N x L

(2) Does the plasma (e.g. ion) velocity peak (+/-) across the layer?

(3) If it does, does the flow change satisfy ~Alfvén speed in a frame moving with the boundary?

Current sheet normal (N = x)

La Belle-Hamer et al., Magnetic reconnection in the presence of sheared flow and density asymmetry: Applications to the Earth's magnetopause, J. Geophys. Res., 1995

# The four-spacecraft Cluster mission: Spacecraft separations

Year	Minimum (km)	km) Maximum (km)	
2005	600	1700	
2006	6000	12300	
2007	400	12400	
2008	40	10400	
2009	3300	8500	

Note: Solar wind density results in a most common *di* ~ 100 km

# The four-spacecraft Cluster mission: Spacecraft separations

Year	Minimum (di) Maximum (di)	
2005	6	17
2006	60	123
2007	4	124
2008	0.4	104
2009	33	85

Note: Solar wind density results in a most common *di* ~ 100 km



The PVI time series is defined in terms of the magnetic field increment vector  $\Delta B(t, \tau) = B(t + \tau) - B(t)$  (Greco et al. 2008):

$$PVI(t, \tau) = \frac{|\Delta B(t, \tau)|}{\sqrt{\langle |\Delta B(t, \tau)|^2 \rangle}}$$
(1)

Greco et al., Ap. J. Lett., 2016, doi:10.3847/2041-8205/823/2/L39 THE COMPLEX STRUCTURE OF MAGNETIC FIELD DISCONTINUITIES IN THE TURBULENT SOLAR WIND



## Exploring Cluster in the solar wind

We confirm a presence of an Alfvénic reconnection exhaust across a current sheet by using the so-called "Walén" relation (*Paschmann et al.*, 1986):

whether it may also satisfy the Walén relation  $V_{WL} = V_{L0} \pm \Delta V_{AL}$  as expected for a magnetic reconnection exhaust (Paschmann et al. 1986), where  $\Delta V_{AL}$  is given as

$$\Delta V_{\rm AL} = \sqrt{\rho_0/\mu_0} (B_L/\rho - B_{L0}/\rho_0).$$

Here,  $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$  Vs/Am is the permeability of free space and the other parameters indicated with a subscript "0" ( $V_{L0}$ ,  $B_{L0}$ ,  $\rho_0$ ) correspond to the given external parameter at the start time of the Walén prediction, whether that is before the CS (leading side) or after the CS (trailing side). The positive and negative signs of  $\Delta V_{AL}$  are chosen automatically according to the direction of the potential jet ( $\Delta V_L > 0$  or  $\Delta V_L < 0$ ).

Paschmann G., Papamastorakis I., Baumjohann W. et al., The magnetopause for large magnetic shear: AMPTE/IRM observations, JGR 91 11099, 1986

Eriksson et al., The Astrophysical Journal, 933:181 (21pp), 2022 July 10, https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac73f6



#### Exploring Cluster in the solar wind Event duration: 70.1 s (1.2 min)

Solar wind external flow:  $\langle V_{L}, V_{M}, V_{N} \rangle = [-329.8, 59.5, -194.8] \text{ km/s}$ 

<di>=92.2 km

	C1	C2	С3	C4
$\Delta t_{cs}(s)$	37.3	36.4	29.3	25.3
ΔN ( <i>di</i> )	79	77	62	53
ΔL ( <i>di</i> )	133	130	105	90
∆M ( <i>di</i> )	24	24	19	16
B <sub>rot</sub> (°)	79	75	74	78
$B_{M1}/B_{L1}$	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.9
$B_{M2}/B_{L2}$	2.2	2.3	1.9	2.1



#### Exploring Cluster in the solar wind Vsw -20 dN (di) -40 -60 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 dL (di= 92.2 km) C3 R<sub>GSE</sub>=( 18.05 0.32 -4.37) R<sub>E</sub> NGSE=( 0.505808 0.434122 -0.745451) L<sub>GSE</sub>=( 0.850924 -0.393063 0.348469) M<sub>GSE</sub>=( -0.141731 -0.810580 -0.568219) Μ



## Exploring Cluster in the solar wind





## **Timing Analysis**

If you "only" had one s/c to go by ... you would not know what the **actual structure** of the current layer looks like.

A multi-formation mission demonstrates how the *same* current sheet has *important structure* in, e.g., BM and BN.

> In this case, structure exists over the ~100 di separation.



## **Timing Analysis**

**ISSI Scientific Report** 

**SR-001** 

#### Analysis Methods for Multi-Spacecraft Data

Götz Paschmann and Patrick W. Daly (Eds.)



Ch.10 Shock & Discontinuity Normals... by Steven J. Schwartz

#### 10.4.3 Multi-Spacecraft Timings

If the same boundary passes several spacecraft, the relative positions and timings can be used to construct the boundary normal and speed, since

$$(V_{\rm sh}^{\rm arb} t_{\alpha\beta}) \cdot \hat{n} = r_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \hat{n}$$
(10.19)

**Timing Analysis** 

where  $r_{\alpha\beta}$  is the separation vector between any spacecraft pair and  $t_{\alpha\beta}$  the time difference between this pair for a particular boundary. Thus given 4 spacecraft, the normal vector and normal propagation velocity  $V_{\rm sh}^{\rm arb} \equiv V_{\rm sh}^{\rm arb} \cdot \hat{n}$  are found from the solution of the following system:



#### 10.4.3 Multi-Spacecraft Timings

If the same boundary passes several spacecraft, the relative positions and timings can be used to construct the boundary normal and speed, since

$$(V_{\rm sh}^{\rm arb} t_{\alpha\beta}) \cdot \hat{n} = r_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \hat{n}$$
(10.19)

where  $r_{\alpha\beta}$  is the separation vector between any spacecraft pair and  $t_{\alpha\beta}$  the time difference between this pair for a particular boundary. Thus given 4 spacecraft, the normal vector and normal propagation velocity  $V_{\rm sh}^{\rm arb} \equiv V_{\rm sh}^{\rm arb} \cdot \hat{n}$  are found from the solution of the following system:



Nt = 
$$\pm [0.343745, 0.409645, -0.845003]$$
  
|Vt| = 58.5 km/s  
Nc =  $B_1 \times B_2 / |B_1 \times B_2| =$   
= [0.505808, 0.434122, -0.745451]

(10.20)

Angle difference: Nt\*Nc = 11°

#### Caveats:

- (1) Sharp signal gradient observed at all s/c
- (2) Tetrahedron *formation* v.s. *s/c alignment* relative the (assumed) planar boundary

## **Timing Analysis**

## Overview

- Current sheets: Magnetic field rotations [J=∇×B/μ<sub>0</sub>] from the very wide Heliospheric Current Sheet to "narrow" M'pause
- Multi-spacecraft missions: A brief introduction to tedrahedron formations and the Curlometer technique
- Near-Earth space regimes & boundaries
- The magnetic reconnection process at current sheets:
   (a) Schematic overviews, 2D vs 3D & ion (di) scale
   (b) "To be or not to be" frozen-in? The G.O.L. vs Hall ©
   (c) Reconnection rate v<sub>in</sub>/v<sub>out</sub>
- Observations of Reconnection Jets:

(a) Cluster in the solar wind vs "timing normal" concept(b) MMS at the magnetopause: J from "curlB" vs J=Ne(Vi-Ve)

Summary

#### Exploring MMS at the Dayside Magnetopause: GSM





#### Exploring MMS at the Dayside Magnetopause: **GSM**



TQF = Tetrahedron Quality Factor

TQF = 1 <-> "regular" tetrahedron








-0.4

Seconds 2015 Dec 06 2329: 05

10

Jcurl gives an average J. Jfpi=Ne(Vi-Ve) is really good. One may confirm if div(B)=0 ...

### Exploring MMS at the Dayside Magnetopause: **Reconnection E'=E+V**<sub>e</sub>**xB**

























### Exploring MMS at the Dayside Magnetopause: J·E' & V×(Ve)

MMS allows unprecedented examinations of kinetic (ions & electrons) physics associated with reconnection & space physics in general.

This example has demonstrated many predicted (PIC) signatures of reconnection at the asymmetric magnetopause.

It also demonstrated a case of electron flow vorticity at both separatrices & inside exhaust.

An extended ~8-10 d<sub>e</sub> layer (L+M) exists inside the exhaust ( $\Delta N$ ~2d<sub>e</sub>) with Jperp·E'>0 (mms2+mms1)



# Summary

- Current sheets can become unstable and reconnect. Whether big or small... [Yes, many HCSs near the Sun support exhausts!]
- The Alfvenic jets along the CS are a major characteristic.
- Other Rx parameters include Hall B and if (!) there are good 3D electric field measurements, you should see the Hall E.
- Multi-spacecraft tetrahedron formations allow us to obtain spatial <u>gradients</u>. Beware of "Tetrahedron Quality Factor"... not all formations are "regular" (TQF=1) and div(B)≠0 !
- The Generalized Ohm's Law helps us understand the plasma physics (particle motions) when E ≠ -v x B ... e.g. Rx
- Showed observations of Alfvenic reconnection jets across two current sheets – one in the solar wind and one at the m'pause.

# Summary

• I did NOT cover how you find the crucial **L,M,N** orientation of current sheets in space.

Many methods exist. Often one truly only knows the direction of maximum variance (**L**)... 🙁

Normals (N) found using...to name a few.

- (1) minimum variance of **B** (ISSI Chapter 8, Sonnerup & Scheible, 1998)
- (2) cross-product of **B** on either side (Knetter et al 2004, J. Geophys. Res., 109, A06102, doi:10.1029/2003JA010099)
- (3) timing (if good s/c distribution beware of poor alignments relative CS) (ISSI Chapter 10, Schwartz, 1998)